

## Hsieh, Shih-Wen

---

**From:** belinda [belinda@jcupgroup.com.tw]  
**Sent:** Friday, November 05, 2004 3:05 AM  
**To:** Hsieh, Shih-Wen  
**Cc:** USA At JCIPGroup  
**Subject:** Re: 10/604,171

Examiner Hsieh,

The inventors agree to your proposed amendments in both claims 1 and 7, except for one minor detail in claim 1:  
Add "--via a linearly moving of the FIRST moving mechanism--" after "to clear away dried ink from the print head".

Regards,  
Belinda Lee

Jianq Chyun Intellectual Property Office  
> > 7th Floor-1, No. 100Roosevelt Road, Section 2  
> > Taipei, 100 Taiwan  
> > Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800  
> > Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Hsieh, Shih-Wen <Shih-Wen.Hsieh@USPTO.GOV>  
**To:** <belinda@jcupgroup.com.tw>  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 04, 2004 3:51 AM  
**Subject:** 10/604,171

> attorney lee:  
> this case is in a condition for allowance, except i would like to do an examiner amendment (ea), the proposed ea is as follows:  
> claim 1:  
> line 10, add "--via a linearly moving of the moving mechanism--" after "to clear away dried ink from the print head", so that the recitation will read: "to clear away dried ink from the print head via a linearly moving of the moving mechanism". Because applicant's argument in applicant's remarks page 12, lines 7-8 states that. by adding this, the invention is clearly distinct from the prior art. please also refer to claims 11 and 13, in which, the linearly motion of the moving mechanism was clearly recited in the steps. claim 7 will do the same thing as that in claim 1.  
> please advice.  
> s hsieh